

# Connectivity Report

## February 3, 2016

### Summary of Grant Awards

The Department of Public Service administers a grant program established under 30 V.S.A. §7515b designed “to provide each service location in Vermont access to Internet service that is capable of speeds of least 10 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload, or the FCC speed requirements established under Connect America Fund Phase II...” The program is funded through the Vermont Universal Service Fund. Two rounds of grants have been awarded and the awards for a third round are pending.

Round: CI 2015-01

Date of Award: May 5, 2015

Company	Amount Awarded	Number Underserved	Town	Technology
Comcast	\$1,425	1	Jamaica	Cable
Comcast	\$215,163	13	Norwich	Cable
ECFiber	\$49,984	17	Randolph	FTTP
ECFiber	\$267,944	67	Royalton	FTTP
ECFiber	\$39,976	20	Pittsfield	FTTP
ECFiber	\$1,500	2	Norwich/Thetford	FTTP
FairPoint	\$200,000	36	Reading	DSL
FairPoint	\$90,000	16	Bradford	DSL
Non-Standard Drops	\$19,951			
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$885,943</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>9 Towns</b>	

Round: CI 2016-01

Date of Award: October 16, 2016

Company	Amount Awarded	Number Underserved	Town	Technology
SVBC	\$22,505	71	Readsboro	WISP
FairPoint	\$90,000	108	Peru	DSL
FairPoint	\$87,500	57	Lowell	DSL
FairPoint	\$90,000	50	Canaan	DSL
EC Fiber	\$13,500	13	Stockbridge	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$43,500	38	Norwich	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$12,000	9	Sharon	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$24,000	14	Randolph	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$10,500	6	Royalton	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$17,000	9	Norwich	FTTP
EC Fiber	\$36,000	19	Chelsea	FTTP
Pear Networks	\$22,695	14	W. Craftsbury	FTTP
Pear Networks	\$27,795	15	S.W. Craftsbury	FTTP
WCVT	\$61,638	28	Charlotte	FTTP
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$558,633</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>13 Towns</b>	

### Connectivity Money

The Vermont Universal Service Fund (VUSF) is a special fund supported through an assessment on retail telecommunications services provided within Vermont. The VUSF is managed by a fiscal agent, Solix, Inc., under contract with the Department of Public Service. In accordance with 30 V.S.A. § 7511, monies collected by the fiscal agent are deposited into the VUSF and are used to support the following costs and programs, ranked in order of priority:

- (A) Costs payable to the fiscal agent under its contract with the Commissioner;
- (B) The Vermont Telecommunications Relay Service (and the equipment distribution program);
- (C) The Vermont Lifeline program;
- (D) Enhanced-911 services;
- (E) The Connectivity Fund (comprised of the Connectivity Initiative and the High Cost Program).

In Act 190 of 2014, the legislature set the VUSF assessment rate at a flat 2%. Act 41 transferred oversight responsibility of the VUSF to the Department of Public Service. Prior to Acts 190 and 41, the Public Service Board held responsibility for annually determining the VUSF assessment rate and for oversight of the VUSF. The current 2% charge is assessed on telecommunications services that include telephone, mobile wireless voice, and prepaid wireless. VoIP providers also

contribute to the VUSF. The rate is collected on telecommunications services only; broadband internet service revenues are not subject to the assessment.

Funds designated for use by the Connectivity Fund are apportioned as follows: 55% of funds support the Connectivity Initiative, and 45% of the funds support the High Cost Program. The High Cost Program provides financial support to Vermont Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (VETC) for lines operating in designated high cost areas. The Connectivity Initiative is a grant program administered by the Department of Public Service for the purpose of expanding broadband technologies to underserved areas.

#### FY2016 Funds Designated for Use by the Connectivity Fund

Pursuant to their authority under 30 V.S.A. § 7516, VUSF fiscal agent Solix designated the amount of \$1,140,665 for use by the Connectivity Fund in fiscal year 2016. Of the \$1,140,665, \$270,000 was appropriated to the Department of Public Service. \$391,799.25 was allocated to the high cost fund, and \$478,865.75 was allocated to the Connectivity Initiative. \$77,407 of unspent grant funds are applied to this year's Connectivity Initiative award, bringing the total to \$556,273.

#### FY2017 Funds Designated for Use by the Connectivity Fund

Pursuant to their authority under 30 V.S.A. § 7516, the fiscal agent designated the amount of \$461,000 for use by the Connectivity Fund in fiscal year 2017. Of the \$461,000 designated, \$253,550 is available to the Connectivity Initiative grant program, and \$207,450 is available to the High Cost Program. In addition, the Connectivity Initiative grant program of the Connectivity Fund received a one-time appropriation of \$300,000 in fiscal year 2017 as part of the FY17 Capital Construction Budget Adjustment Act 160. The total designated for disbursement in 2017 is \$553,550.

**Broadband Statistics Summary by County as of December 15, 2016**

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
ADDISON		17,669	1,471	8.3%	9,540	54.0%	16,578	93.8%	1,091	6.2%	415	2.3%	370	2.1%	520	2.9%
BENNINGTON		20,788	116	0.6%	16,839	81.0%	19,170	92.2%	1,618	7.8%	969	4.7%	581	2.8%	559	2.7%
CALEDONIA		15,794	16	0.1%	8,076	51.1%	11,328	71.7%	4,466	28.3%	3,027	19.2%	2,450	15.5%	849	5.4%
CHITTENDEN		56,918	12,257	21.5%	53,041	93.2%	55,750	97.9%	1,168	2.1%	0	0.0%	81	0.1%	1,087	1.9%
ESSEX		5,335	0	0.0%	889	16.7%	3,135	58.8%	2,200	41.2%	2,103	39.4%	1,405	26.3%	76	1.4%
FRANKLIN		22,106	295	1.3%	14,950	67.6%	19,952	90.3%	2,154	9.7%	891	4.0%	1,164	5.3%	803	3.6%
GRAND ISLE		6,164	0	0.0%	3,629	58.9%	4,344	70.5%	1,820	29.5%	0	0.0%	1,091	17.7%	729	11.8%
LAMOILLE		12,616	0	0.0%	6,380	50.6%	11,290	89.5%	1,326	10.5%	833	6.6%	835	6.6%	298	2.4%
ORANGE		15,486	3,297	21.3%	7,388	47.7%	13,021	84.1%	2,465	15.9%	935	6.0%	1,395	9.0%	752	4.9%
ORLEANS		16,323	86	0.5%	8,345	51.1%	13,127	80.4%	3,196	19.6%	2,461	15.1%	1,531	9.4%	604	3.7%
RUTLAND		30,859	6,508	21.1%	27,352	88.6%	29,864	96.8%	995	3.2%	360	1.2%	219	0.7%	516	1.7%
WASHINGTON		26,461	1,131	4.3%	18,355	69.4%	25,283	95.5%	1,178	4.5%	374	1.4%	378	1.4%	575	2.2%
WINDHAM		26,692	2,305	8.6%	19,492	73.0%	23,612	88.5%	3,080	11.5%	1,744	6.5%	1,482	5.6%	1,037	3.9%
WINDSOR		31,664	11,882	37.5%	26,007	82.1%	30,039	94.9%	1,625	5.1%	1,134	3.6%	734	2.3%	387	1.2%
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>304,875</b>	<b>39,364</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>220,283</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>276,493</b>	<b>90.7%</b>	<b>28,382</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>15,246</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>13,716</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>8,792</b>	<b>2.9%</b>

**Broadband Statistics Summary by Town as of December 15, 2016**

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
ADDISON	Addison	828	199	24.0%	252	30.4%	813	98.2%	15	1.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	100.0%
ADDISON	Bridport	655	43	6.6%	51	7.8%	568	86.7%	87	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	87	100.0%
ADDISON	Bristol	1,597	435	27.2%	1457	91.2%	1,586	99.3%	11	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
ADDISON	Cornwall	560	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	531	94.8%	29	5.2%	26	89.7%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%
ADDISON	Ferrisburgh	1,668	103	6.2%	823	49.3%	1,532	91.8%	136	8.2%	0	0.0%	56	41.2%	80	58.8%
ADDISON	Goshen	134	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	89	66.4%	45	33.6%	44	97.8%	42	93.3%	0	0.0%
ADDISON	Granville	271	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	170	62.7%	101	37.3%	101	100.0%	62	61.4%	0	0.0%
ADDISON	Hancock	240	145	60.4%	145	60.4%	210	87.5%	30	12.5%	30	100.0%	26	86.7%	0	0.0%
ADDISON	Leicester	691	0	0.0%	648	93.8%	677	98.0%	14	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	100.0%
ADDISON	Lincoln	677	128	18.9%	395	58.3%	657	97.0%	20	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ADDISON	Middlebury	2,917	0	0.0%	2739	93.9%	2,830	97.0%	87	3.0%	7	8.0%	3	3.4%	78	89.7%
ADDISON	Monkton	862	50	5.8%	583	67.6%	845	98.0%	17	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	16	94.1%
ADDISON	New Haven	792	123	15.5%	441	55.7%	724	91.4%	68	8.6%	0	0.0%	14	20.6%	54	79.4%
ADDISON	Orwell	765	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	733	95.8%	32	4.2%	32	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ADDISON	Panton	321	107	33.3%	127	39.6%	312	97.2%	9	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
ADDISON	Ripton	376	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	254	67.6%	122	32.4%	89	73.0%	105	86.1%	5	4.1%
ADDISON	Salisbury	839	0	0.0%	76	9.1%	713	85.0%	126	15.0%	52	41.3%	54	42.9%	27	21.4%
ADDISON	Shoreham	738	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	704	95.4%	34	4.6%	30	88.2%	0	0.0%	4	11.8%
ADDISON	Starksboro	915	101	11.0%	590	64.5%	895	97.8%	20	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
ADDISON	Vergennes	1,000	0	0.0%	995	99.5%	997	99.7%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%
ADDISON	Waltham	239	0	0.0%	88	36.8%	183	76.6%	56	23.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	56	100.0%
ADDISON	Weybridge	403	37	9.2%	130	32.3%	378	93.8%	25	6.2%	0	0.0%	7	28.0%	18	72.0%
ADDISON	Whiting	181	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	177	97.8%	4	2.2%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Arlington	1,318	0	0.0%	1111	84.3%	1,218	92.4%	100	7.6%	14	14.0%	1	1.0%	86	86.0%
BENNINGTON	Bennington	6,329	0	0.0%	6207	98.1%	6,231	98.5%	98	1.5%	13	13.3%	8	8.2%	77	78.6%
BENNINGTON	Dorset	1,469	10	0.7%	1165	79.3%	1,337	91.0%	132	9.0%	9	6.8%	28	21.2%	97	73.5%
BENNINGTON	Glastenbury	4	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Landgrove	180	0	0.0%	10	5.6%	132	73.3%	48	26.7%	48	100.0%	38	79.2%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Manchester	2,856	0	0.0%	2740	95.9%	2,763	96.7%	93	3.3%	22	23.7%	14	15.1%	70	75.3%
BENNINGTON	Peru	512	0	0.0%	119	23.2%	385	75.2%	127	24.8%	127	100.0%	33	26.0%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Pownal	1,746	0	0.0%	1554	89.0%	1,639	93.9%	107	6.1%	30	28.0%	16	15.0%	74	69.2%
BENNINGTON	Readsboro	514	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	358	69.6%	156	30.4%	113	72.4%	119	76.3%	21	13.5%
BENNINGTON	Rupert	497	106	21.3%	106	21.3%	349	70.2%	148	29.8%	102	68.9%	61	41.2%	25	16.9%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
BENNINGTON	Sandgate	323	0	0.0%	20	6.2%	217	67.2%	106	32.8%	105	99.1%	76	71.7%	1	0.9%
BENNINGTON	Searsburg	126	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	86	68.3%	40	31.7%	40	100.0%	36	90.0%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Shaftsbury	1,728	0	0.0%	1335	77.3%	1,582	91.6%	146	8.4%	124	84.9%	33	22.6%	22	15.1%
BENNINGTON	Stamford	474	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	348	73.4%	126	26.6%	126	100.0%	83	65.9%	0	0.0%
BENNINGTON	Sunderland	589	0	0.0%	548	93.0%	561	95.2%	28	4.8%	5	17.9%	8	28.6%	17	60.7%
BENNINGTON	Winhall	1,748	0	0.0%	1563	89.4%	1,603	91.7%	145	8.3%	80	55.2%	18	12.4%	62	42.8%
BENNINGTON	Woodford	375	0	0.0%	359	95.7%	359	95.7%	16	4.3%	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	7	43.8%
CALEDONIA	Barnet	1,026	0	0.0%	224	21.8%	748	72.9%	278	27.1%	256	92.1%	210	75.5%	13	4.7%
CALEDONIA	Burke	1,018	0	0.0%	579	56.9%	634	62.3%	384	37.7%	311	81.0%	124	32.3%	70	18.2%
CALEDONIA	Danville	1,421	0	0.0%	751	52.9%	862	60.7%	559	39.3%	401	71.7%	197	35.2%	150	26.8%
CALEDONIA	Groton	706	0	0.0%	199	28.2%	405	57.4%	301	42.6%	0	0.0%	215	71.4%	86	28.6%
CALEDONIA	Hardwick	1,413	16	1.1%	964	68.2%	1,245	88.1%	168	11.9%	82	48.8%	110	65.5%	39	23.2%
CALEDONIA	Kirby	274	0	0.0%	29	10.6%	92	33.6%	182	66.4%	175	96.2%	102	56.0%	0	0.0%
CALEDONIA	Lyndon	2,302	0	0.0%	1930	83.8%	1,988	86.4%	314	13.6%	198	63.1%	88	28.0%	97	30.9%
CALEDONIA	Newark	586	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	256	43.7%	330	56.3%	330	100.0%	279	84.5%	0	0.0%
CALEDONIA	Peacham	557	0	0.0%	163	29.3%	316	56.7%	241	43.3%	90	37.3%	207	85.9%	19	7.9%
CALEDONIA	Ryegate	682	0	0.0%	277	40.6%	446	65.4%	236	34.6%	11	4.7%	84	35.6%	149	63.1%
CALEDONIA	Sheffield	461	0	0.0%	109	23.6%	265	57.5%	196	42.5%	190	96.9%	133	67.9%	6	3.1%
CALEDONIA	St. Johnsbury	2,918	0	0.0%	2542	87.1%	2,649	90.8%	269	9.2%	170	63.2%	126	46.8%	99	36.8%
CALEDONIA	Stannard	139	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	84	60.4%	55	39.6%	55	100.0%	41	74.5%	0	0.0%
CALEDONIA	Sutton	476	0	0.0%	119	25.0%	162	34.0%	314	66.0%	258	82.2%	148	47.1%	53	16.9%
CALEDONIA	Walden	646	0	0.0%	9	1.4%	509	78.8%	137	21.2%	71	51.8%	77	56.2%	18	13.1%
CALEDONIA	Waterford	664	0	0.0%	118	17.8%	403	60.7%	261	39.3%	189	72.4%	159	60.9%	49	18.8%
CALEDONIA	Wheelock	505	0	0.0%	63	12.5%	264	52.3%	241	47.7%	240	99.6%	150	62.2%	1	0.4%
CHITTENDEN	Bolton	510	164	32.2%	256	50.2%	504	98.8%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%
CHITTENDEN	Buels Gore	15	14	93.3%	14	93.3%	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CHITTENDEN	Burlington	11,528	10,596	91.9%	11499	99.7%	11,505	99.8%	23	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	21	91.3%
CHITTENDEN	Charlotte	2,108	104	4.9%	1319	62.6%	2,061	97.8%	47	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Colchester	6,367	0	0.0%	6152	96.6%	6,185	97.1%	182	2.9%	0	0.0%	6	3.3%	176	96.7%
CHITTENDEN	Essex	7,249	0	0.0%	7031	97.0%	7,136	98.4%	113	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	113	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Hinesburg	1,871	216	11.5%	1335	71.4%	1,832	97.9%	39	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Huntington	894	7	0.8%	624	69.8%	885	99.0%	9	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Jericho	1,963	0	0.0%	1785	90.9%	1,816	92.5%	147	7.5%	0	0.0%	11	7.5%	136	92.5%
CHITTENDEN	Milton	4,269	0	0.0%	3845	90.1%	4,058	95.1%	211	4.9%	0	0.0%	8	3.8%	203	96.2%
CHITTENDEN	Richmond	1,710	425	24.9%	1573	92.0%	1,709	99.9%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Shelburne	3,182	0	0.0%	3041	95.6%	3,075	96.6%	107	3.4%	0	0.0%	22	20.6%	85	79.4%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
CHITTENDEN	South Burlington	6,885	655	9.5%	6824	99.1%	6,829	99.2%	56	0.8%	0	0.0%	8	14.3%	48	85.7%
CHITTENDEN	St. George	318	0	0.0%	296	93.1%	316	99.4%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
CHITTENDEN	Underhill	1,230	0	0.0%	932	75.8%	1,153	93.7%	77	6.3%	0	0.0%	10	13.0%	67	87.0%
CHITTENDEN	Westford	822	0	0.0%	720	87.6%	777	94.5%	45	5.5%	0	0.0%	3	6.7%	42	93.3%
CHITTENDEN	Williston	4,240	0	0.0%	4044	95.4%	4,137	97.6%	103	2.4%	0	0.0%	5	4.9%	98	95.1%
CHITTENDEN	Winooski	1,757	76	4.3%	1751	99.7%	1,757	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Averill	246	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	7.3%	228	92.7%	228	100.0%	113	49.6%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Averys Gore	8	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Bloomfield	239	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	147	61.5%	92	38.5%	91	98.9%	81	88.0%	1	1.1%
ESSEX	Brighton	950	0	0.0%	610	64.2%	721	75.9%	229	24.1%	189	82.5%	100	43.7%	31	13.5%
ESSEX	Brunswick	76	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	23.7%	58	76.3%	58	100.0%	55	94.8%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Canaan	611	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	398	65.1%	213	34.9%	213	100.0%	127	59.6%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Concord	886	0	0.0%	279	31.5%	621	70.1%	265	29.9%	227	85.7%	114	43.0%	32	12.1%
ESSEX	East Haven	216	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	83	38.4%	133	61.6%	133	100.0%	75	56.4%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Ferdinand	77	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	16.9%	64	83.1%	64	100.0%	52	81.3%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Granby	105	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63	60.0%	42	40.0%	42	100.0%	31	73.8%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Guildhall	183	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	126	68.9%	57	31.1%	48	84.2%	50	87.7%	6	10.5%
ESSEX	Lemington	94	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	53	56.4%	41	43.6%	41	100.0%	39	95.1%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Lewis	47	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	100.0%	47	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Lunenburg	878	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	582	66.3%	296	33.7%	293	99.0%	267	90.2%	2	0.7%
ESSEX	Maidstone	332	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	130	39.2%	202	60.8%	202	100.0%	144	71.3%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Norton	226	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	95	42.0%	131	58.0%	125	95.4%	82	62.6%	4	3.1%
ESSEX	Victory	100	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63	63.0%	37	37.0%	37	100.0%	27	73.0%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Warners Grant	2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ESSEX	Warren Gore	59	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	6.8%	55	93.2%	55	100.0%	48	87.3%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	Bakersfield	651	0	0.0%	212	32.6%	506	77.7%	145	22.3%	89	61.4%	99	68.3%	37	25.5%
FRANKLIN	Berkshire	712	0	0.0%	86	12.1%	523	73.5%	189	26.5%	178	94.2%	171	90.5%	7	3.7%
FRANKLIN	Enosburg	1,256	0	0.0%	660	52.5%	1,107	88.1%	149	11.9%	110	73.8%	96	64.4%	5	3.4%
FRANKLIN	Fairfax	1,682	0	0.0%	709	42.2%	1,479	87.9%	203	12.1%	0	0.0%	90	44.3%	113	55.7%
FRANKLIN	Fairfield	975	0	0.0%	94	9.6%	714	73.2%	261	26.8%	222	85.1%	218	83.5%	12	4.6%
FRANKLIN	Fletcher	615	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	478	77.7%	137	22.3%	55	40.1%	118	86.1%	16	11.7%
FRANKLIN	Franklin	924	269	29.1%	270	29.2%	903	97.7%	21	2.3%	21	100.0%	14	66.7%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	Georgia	2,000	0	0.0%	1719	86.0%	1,908	95.4%	92	4.6%	0	0.0%	8	8.7%	84	91.3%
FRANKLIN	Highgate	1,827	9	0.5%	1756	96.1%	1,797	98.4%	30	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%
FRANKLIN	Montgomery	808	0	0.0%	237	29.3%	461	57.1%	347	42.9%	10	2.9%	184	53.0%	163	47.0%
FRANKLIN	Richford	1,035	0	0.0%	731	70.6%	875	84.5%	160	15.5%	105	65.6%	106	66.3%	36	22.5%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
FRANKLIN	Sheldon	937	17	1.8%	236	25.2%	803	85.7%	134	14.3%	90	67.2%	50	37.3%	35	26.1%
FRANKLIN	St. Albans City	2,555	0	0.0%	2555	100.0%	2,555	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN	St. Albans Town	3,090	0	0.0%	2852	92.3%	2,969	96.1%	121	3.9%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	119	98.3%
FRANKLIN	Swanton	3,039	0	0.0%	2833	93.2%	2,874	94.6%	165	5.4%	9	5.5%	10	6.1%	146	88.5%
GRAND ISLE	Alburgh	1,794	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	502	28.0%	1,292	72.0%	0	0.0%	811	62.8%	481	37.2%
GRAND ISLE	Grand Isle	1,278	0	0.0%	1265	99.0%	1,270	99.4%	8	0.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
GRAND ISLE	Isle La Motte	578	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	200	34.6%	378	65.4%	0	0.0%	280	74.1%	98	25.9%
GRAND ISLE	North Hero	1,087	0	0.0%	1004	92.4%	1,008	92.7%	79	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	79	100.0%
GRAND ISLE	South Hero	1,427	0	0.0%	1360	95.3%	1,364	95.6%	63	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63	100.0%
LAMOILLE	Belvidere	230	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	217	94.3%	13	5.7%	13	100.0%	11	84.6%	0	0.0%
LAMOILLE	Cambridge	1,645	0	0.0%	621	37.8%	1,422	86.4%	223	13.6%	140	62.8%	154	69.1%	47	21.1%
LAMOILLE	Eden	830	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	579	69.8%	251	30.2%	215	85.7%	211	84.1%	16	6.4%
LAMOILLE	Elmore	556	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	465	83.6%	91	16.4%	87	95.6%	83	91.2%	0	0.0%
LAMOILLE	Hyde Park	1,401	0	0.0%	1015	72.4%	1,310	93.5%	91	6.5%	42	46.2%	28	30.8%	46	50.5%
LAMOILLE	Johnson	1,324	0	0.0%	953	72.0%	1,099	83.0%	225	17.0%	133	59.1%	88	39.1%	82	36.4%
LAMOILLE	Morristown	2,365	0	0.0%	1854	78.4%	2,242	94.8%	123	5.2%	30	24.4%	32	26.0%	75	61.0%
LAMOILLE	Stowe	3,101	0	0.0%	1937	62.5%	2,913	93.9%	188	6.1%	73	38.8%	141	75.0%	30	16.0%
LAMOILLE	Waterville	334	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	295	88.3%	39	11.7%	24	61.5%	35	89.7%	2	5.1%
LAMOILLE	Wolcott	830	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	748	90.1%	82	9.9%	76	92.7%	52	63.4%	0	0.0%
ORANGE	Bradford	1,292	286	22.1%	933	72.2%	1,194	92.4%	98	7.6%	0	0.0%	30	30.6%	68	69.4%
ORANGE	Braintree	704	203	28.8%	512	72.7%	601	85.4%	103	14.6%	54	52.4%	35	34.0%	48	46.6%
ORANGE	Brookfield	702	54	7.7%	57	8.1%	350	49.9%	352	50.1%	345	98.0%	297	84.4%	0	0.0%
ORANGE	Chelsea	735	271	36.9%	340	46.3%	561	76.3%	174	23.7%	138	79.3%	120	69.0%	12	6.9%
ORANGE	Corinth	903	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	723	80.1%	180	19.9%	0	0.0%	49	27.2%	131	72.8%
ORANGE	Fairlee	673	480	71.3%	482	71.6%	597	88.7%	76	11.3%	0	0.0%	22	28.9%	54	71.1%
ORANGE	Newbury	1,374	11	0.8%	426	31.0%	890	64.8%	484	35.2%	0	0.0%	372	76.9%	112	23.1%
ORANGE	Orange	556	0	0.0%	43	7.7%	447	80.4%	109	19.6%	31	28.4%	44	40.4%	53	48.6%
ORANGE	Randolph	2,044	273	13.4%	1733	84.8%	1,847	90.4%	197	9.6%	116	58.9%	68	34.5%	78	39.6%
ORANGE	Strafford	636	332	52.2%	332	52.2%	618	97.2%	18	2.8%	18	100.0%	18	100.0%	0	0.0%
ORANGE	Thetford	1,421	736	51.8%	751	52.9%	1,409	99.2%	12	0.8%	8	66.7%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%
ORANGE	Topsham	743	5	0.7%	5	0.7%	613	82.5%	130	17.5%	0	0.0%	33	25.4%	97	74.6%
ORANGE	Tunbridge	775	183	23.6%	270	34.8%	593	76.5%	182	23.5%	180	98.9%	75	41.2%	2	1.1%
ORANGE	Vershire	475	284	59.8%	284	59.8%	426	89.7%	49	10.3%	0	0.0%	46	93.9%	3	6.1%
ORANGE	Washington	613	0	0.0%	197	32.1%	475	77.5%	138	22.5%	0	0.0%	94	68.1%	44	31.9%
ORANGE	West Fairlee	425	179	42.1%	179	42.1%	335	78.8%	90	21.2%	0	0.0%	49	54.4%	41	45.6%
ORANGE	Williamstown	1,415	0	0.0%	844	59.6%	1,342	94.8%	73	5.2%	45	61.6%	33	45.2%	9	12.3%


County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
ORLEANS	Albany	617	20	3.2%	20	3.2%	480	77.8%	137	22.2%	137	100.0%	123	89.8%	0	0.0%
ORLEANS	Barton	1,474	0	0.0%	926	62.8%	1,214	82.4%	260	17.6%	184	70.8%	77	29.6%	56	21.5%
ORLEANS	Brownington	542	0	0.0%	318	58.7%	493	91.0%	49	9.0%	29	59.2%	6	12.2%	19	38.8%
ORLEANS	Charleston	757	0	0.0%	460	60.8%	601	79.4%	156	20.6%	123	78.8%	27	17.3%	33	21.2%
ORLEANS	Coventry	475	0	0.0%	227	47.8%	319	67.2%	156	32.8%	132	84.6%	96	61.5%	24	15.4%
ORLEANS	Craftsbury	693	59	8.5%	59	8.5%	606	87.4%	87	12.6%	47	54.0%	86	98.9%	1	1.1%
ORLEANS	Derby	2,505	0	0.0%	1932	77.1%	2,197	87.7%	308	12.3%	167	54.2%	31	10.1%	119	38.6%
ORLEANS	Glover	807	0	0.0%	117	14.5%	620	76.8%	187	23.2%	184	98.4%	161	86.1%	1	0.5%
ORLEANS	Greensboro	830	7	0.8%	84	10.1%	724	87.2%	106	12.8%	48	45.3%	36	34.0%	53	50.0%
ORLEANS	Holland	456	0	0.0%	5	1.1%	303	66.4%	153	33.6%	153	100.0%	144	94.1%	0	0.0%
ORLEANS	Irasburg	616	0	0.0%	175	28.4%	340	55.2%	276	44.8%	259	93.8%	202	73.2%	6	2.2%
ORLEANS	Jay	532	0	0.0%	336	63.2%	345	64.8%	187	35.2%	17	9.1%	3	1.6%	170	90.9%
ORLEANS	Lowell	560	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	255	45.5%	305	54.5%	305	100.0%	215	70.5%	0	0.0%
ORLEANS	Morgan	816	0	0.0%	535	65.6%	649	79.5%	167	20.5%	143	85.6%	34	20.4%	20	12.0%
ORLEANS	Newport City	1,957	0	0.0%	1936	98.9%	1,947	99.5%	10	0.5%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%
ORLEANS	Newport Town	842	0	0.0%	406	48.2%	687	81.6%	155	18.4%	132	85.2%	80	51.6%	19	12.3%
ORLEANS	Troy	885	0	0.0%	688	77.7%	771	87.1%	114	12.9%	64	56.1%	20	17.5%	50	43.9%
ORLEANS	Westfield	374	0	0.0%	121	32.4%	174	46.5%	200	53.5%	149	74.5%	87	43.5%	29	14.5%
ORLEANS	Westmore	585	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	402	68.7%	183	31.3%	183	100.0%	102	55.7%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Benson	606	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	512	84.5%	94	15.5%	94	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Brandon	1,850	0	0.0%	1604	86.7%	1,745	94.3%	105	5.7%	20	19.0%	9	8.6%	85	81.0%
RUTLAND	Castleton	2,208	0	0.0%	2031	92.0%	2,166	98.1%	42	1.9%	5	11.9%	13	31.0%	24	57.1%
RUTLAND	Chittenden	722	0	0.0%	600	83.1%	628	87.0%	94	13.0%	25	26.6%	4	4.3%	69	73.4%
RUTLAND	Clarendon	1,237	20	1.6%	1184	95.7%	1,186	95.9%	51	4.1%	4	7.8%	4	7.8%	43	84.3%
RUTLAND	Danby	773	772	99.9%	772	99.9%	773	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Fair Haven	1,165	0	0.0%	1069	91.8%	1,122	96.3%	43	3.7%	14	32.6%	7	16.3%	26	60.5%
RUTLAND	Hubbardton	642	0	0.0%	67	10.4%	623	97.0%	19	3.0%	19	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Ira	226	158	69.9%	212	93.8%	214	94.7%	12	5.3%	2	16.7%	8	66.7%	2	16.7%
RUTLAND	Killington	1,419	1,155	81.4%	1407	99.2%	1,417	99.9%	2	0.1%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Mendon	646	2	0.3%	571	88.4%	579	89.6%	67	10.4%	16	23.9%	14	20.9%	45	67.2%
RUTLAND	Middletown Springs	446	444	99.6%	444	99.6%	444	99.6%	2	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
RUTLAND	Mount Holly	1,110	1,094	98.6%	1095	98.6%	1,110	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Mount Tabor	142	142	100.0%	142	100.0%	142	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Pawlet	843	488	57.9%	734	87.1%	757	89.8%	86	10.2%	33	38.4%	45	52.3%	23	26.7%
RUTLAND	Pittsfield	411	128	31.1%	130	31.6%	395	96.1%	16	3.9%	8	50.0%	14	87.5%	0	0.0%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
RUTLAND	Pittsford	1,418	0	0.0%	1247	87.9%	1,287	90.8%	131	9.2%	5	3.8%	42	32.1%	84	64.1%
RUTLAND	Poultney	1,708	4	0.2%	1458	85.4%	1,609	94.2%	99	5.8%	59	59.6%	30	30.3%	38	38.4%
RUTLAND	Proctor	775	0	0.0%	765	98.7%	766	98.8%	9	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	8	88.9%
RUTLAND	Rutland	1,819	0	0.0%	1784	98.1%	1,792	98.5%	27	1.5%	1	3.7%	3	11.1%	24	88.9%
RUTLAND	Rutland City	6,126	0	0.0%	6126	100.0%	6,126	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Shrewsbury	604	434	71.9%	582	96.4%	596	98.7%	8	1.3%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Sudbury	431	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	417	96.8%	14	3.2%	14	100.0%	11	78.6%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Tinmouth	363	363	100.0%	363	100.0%	363	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Wallingford	1,099	1,099	100.0%	1099	100.0%	1,099	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	Wells	959	205	21.4%	927	96.7%	928	96.8%	31	3.2%	2	6.5%	0	0.0%	29	93.5%
RUTLAND	West Haven	136	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	118	86.8%	18	13.2%	18	100.0%	12	66.7%	0	0.0%
RUTLAND	West Rutland	975	0	0.0%	939	96.3%	950	97.4%	25	2.6%	11	44.0%	2	8.0%	14	56.0%
WASHINGTON	Barre City	2,938	0	0.0%	2935	99.9%	2,938	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	Barre Town	3,350	0	0.0%	3172	94.7%	3,332	99.5%	18	0.5%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	17	94.4%
WASHINGTON	Berlin	1,394	0	0.0%	1098	78.8%	1,322	94.8%	72	5.2%	30	41.7%	13	18.1%	32	44.4%
WASHINGTON	Cabot	837	0	0.0%	263	31.4%	692	82.7%	145	17.3%	55	37.9%	95	65.5%	35	24.1%
WASHINGTON	Calais	883	0	0.0%	316	35.8%	859	97.3%	24	2.7%	10	41.7%	13	54.2%	11	45.8%
WASHINGTON	Duxbury	649	0	0.0%	308	47.5%	480	74.0%	169	26.0%	0	0.0%	19	11.2%	150	88.8%
WASHINGTON	East Montpelier	1,168	0	0.0%	788	67.5%	1,150	98.5%	18	1.5%	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	6	33.3%
WASHINGTON	Fayston	968	100	10.3%	202	20.9%	961	99.3%	7	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
WASHINGTON	Marshfield	763	0	0.0%	286	37.5%	715	93.7%	48	6.3%	29	60.4%	23	47.9%	9	18.8%
WASHINGTON	Middlesex	842	0	0.0%	433	51.4%	631	74.9%	211	25.1%	98	46.4%	117	55.5%	35	16.6%
WASHINGTON	Montpelier	2,834	0	0.0%	2818	99.4%	2,828	99.8%	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
WASHINGTON	Moretown	811	49	6.0%	405	49.9%	705	86.9%	106	13.1%	0	0.0%	35	33.0%	71	67.0%
WASHINGTON	Northfield	1,918	0	0.0%	1372	71.5%	1,914	99.8%	4	0.2%	3	75.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
WASHINGTON	Plainfield	576	0	0.0%	253	43.9%	563	97.7%	13	2.3%	11	84.6%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%
WASHINGTON	Roxbury	496	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	470	94.8%	26	5.2%	15	57.7%	19	73.1%	7	26.9%
WASHINGTON	Waitsfield	1,023	467	45.7%	504	49.3%	1,008	98.5%	15	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	100.0%
WASHINGTON	Warren	1,528	515	33.7%	569	37.2%	1,509	98.8%	19	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	100.0%
WASHINGTON	Waterbury	2,249	0	0.0%	2075	92.3%	2,113	94.0%	136	6.0%	33	24.3%	14	10.3%	91	66.9%
WASHINGTON	Woodbury	766	0	0.0%	348	45.4%	731	95.4%	35	4.6%	32	91.4%	17	48.6%	3	8.6%
WASHINGTON	Worcester	468	0	0.0%	210	44.9%	362	77.4%	106	22.6%	46	43.4%	3	2.8%	59	55.7%
WINDHAM	Athens	245	245	100.0%	245	100.0%	245	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDHAM	Brattleboro	4,676	0	0.0%	4465	95.5%	4,597	98.3%	79	1.7%	15	19.0%	8	10.1%	61	77.2%
WINDHAM	Brookline	309	0	0.0%	291	94.2%	296	95.8%	13	4.2%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	6	46.2%
WINDHAM	Dover	2,061	0	0.0%	1676	81.3%	1,965	95.3%	96	4.7%	46	47.9%	58	60.4%	31	32.3%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
WINDHAM	Dummerston	968	103	10.6%	668	69.0%	776	80.2%	192	19.8%	57	29.7%	49	25.5%	120	62.5%
WINDHAM	Grafton	555	555	100.0%	555	100.0%	555	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDHAM	Guilford	1,156	0	0.0%	863	74.7%	985	85.2%	171	14.8%	0	0.0%	12	7.0%	159	93.0%
WINDHAM	Halifax	606	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	304	50.2%	302	49.8%	292	96.7%	291	96.4%	0	0.0%
WINDHAM	Jamaica	1,110	0	0.0%	692	62.3%	910	82.0%	200	18.0%	167	83.5%	48	24.0%	31	15.5%
WINDHAM	Londonderry	1,442	2	0.1%	1179	81.8%	1,247	86.5%	195	13.5%	96	49.2%	13	6.7%	97	49.7%
WINDHAM	Marlboro	562	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	412	73.3%	150	26.7%	150	100.0%	134	89.3%	0	0.0%
WINDHAM	Newfane	1,101	82	7.4%	793	72.0%	945	85.8%	156	14.2%	58	37.2%	35	22.4%	78	50.0%
WINDHAM	Putney	1,143	165	14.4%	815	71.3%	969	84.8%	174	15.2%	24	13.8%	56	32.2%	117	67.2%
WINDHAM	Rockingham	2,177	618	28.4%	2108	96.8%	2,110	96.9%	67	3.1%	23	34.3%	3	4.5%	42	62.7%
WINDHAM	Somerset	28	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	100.0%	28	100.0%	27	96.4%	0	0.0%
WINDHAM	Stratton	619	0	0.0%	362	58.5%	488	78.8%	131	21.2%	69	52.7%	66	50.4%	52	39.7%
WINDHAM	Townshend	806	29	3.6%	491	60.9%	646	80.1%	160	19.9%	128	80.0%	86	53.8%	29	18.1%
WINDHAM	Vernon	879	0	0.0%	804	91.5%	821	93.4%	58	6.6%	0	0.0%	6	10.3%	52	89.7%
WINDHAM	Wardsboro	874	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	652	74.6%	222	25.4%	191	86.0%	169	76.1%	16	7.2%
WINDHAM	Westminster	1,618	184	11.4%	1411	87.2%	1,521	94.0%	97	6.0%	24	24.7%	3	3.1%	71	73.2%
WINDHAM	Whitingham	948	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	583	61.5%	365	38.5%	288	78.9%	277	75.9%	8	2.2%
WINDHAM	Wilmington	2,369	103	4.3%	1852	78.2%	2,172	91.7%	197	8.3%	57	28.9%	120	60.9%	66	33.5%
WINDHAM	Windham	440	219	49.8%	221	50.2%	413	93.9%	27	6.1%	24	88.9%	20	74.1%	1	3.7%
WINDSOR	Andover	464	463	99.8%	463	99.8%	463	99.8%	1	0.2%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Baltimore	113	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	113	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Barnard	767	469	61.1%	469	61.1%	754	98.3%	13	1.7%	13	100.0%	13	100.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Bethel	1,018	464	45.6%	681	66.9%	886	87.0%	132	13.0%	107	81.1%	61	46.2%	15	11.4%
WINDSOR	Bridgewater	651	599	92.0%	599	92.0%	631	96.9%	20	3.1%	20	100.0%	20	100.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Cavendish	957	0	0.0%	618	64.6%	823	86.0%	134	14.0%	128	95.5%	78	58.2%	6	4.5%
WINDSOR	Chester	1,764	1,762	99.9%	1762	99.9%	1,764	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Hartford	4,813	17	0.4%	4513	93.8%	4,701	97.7%	112	2.3%	39	34.8%	35	31.3%	62	55.4%
WINDSOR	Hartland	1,616	1,172	72.5%	1571	97.2%	1,597	98.8%	19	1.2%	14	73.7%	10	52.6%	5	26.3%
WINDSOR	Ludlow	2,426	0	0.0%	2154	88.8%	2,426	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Norwich	1,523	733	48.1%	1342	88.1%	1,433	94.1%	90	5.9%	80	88.9%	19	21.1%	9	10.0%
WINDSOR	Plymouth	828	612	73.9%	725	87.6%	828	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Pomfret	566	249	44.0%	261	46.1%	555	98.1%	11	1.9%	10	90.9%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Reading	516	62	12.0%	250	48.4%	422	81.8%	94	18.2%	90	95.7%	79	84.0%	4	4.3%
WINDSOR	Rochester	836	261	31.2%	428	51.2%	707	84.6%	129	15.4%	85	65.9%	60	46.5%	25	19.4%
WINDSOR	Royalton	1,309	438	33.5%	712	54.4%	1,154	88.2%	155	11.8%	123	79.4%	36	23.2%	32	20.6%
WINDSOR	Sharon	749	232	31.0%	238	31.8%	673	89.9%	76	10.1%	61	80.3%	56	73.7%	2	2.6%

County	Town	Total Buildings	Served locations are affirmatively reported as served by specific providers						Underserved locations are potentially served at 4/1 by FairPoint							
			Served 100/100 or Better	Percent Served 100/100 or Better	Served 25/3 or Better	Percent Served 25/3 or Better	Served 4/1 or Better	Percent Served 4/1 or Better	Underserved	Percent Underserved	Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Percent Underserved in VTEL_ARRA	Underserved in CAFII	Percent Underserved in CAFII	Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII	Percent Underserved Not in VTEL_ARRA or CAFII
WINDSOR	Springfield	3,831	3,824	99.8%	3830	100.0%	3,831	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Stockbridge	597	179	30.0%	179	30.0%	520	87.1%	77	12.9%	65	84.4%	72	93.5%	0	0.0%
WINDSOR	Weathersfield	1,573	85	5.4%	1269	80.7%	1,536	97.6%	37	2.4%	31	83.8%	20	54.1%	6	16.2%
WINDSOR	West Windsor	735	159	21.6%	408	55.5%	547	74.4%	188	25.6%	165	87.8%	102	54.3%	15	8.0%
WINDSOR	Weston	618	0	0.0%	507	82.0%	538	87.1%	80	12.9%	28	35.0%	17	21.3%	48	60.0%
WINDSOR	Windsor	1,488	1	0.1%	1426	95.8%	1,432	96.2%	56	3.8%	0	0.0%	8	14.3%	48	85.7%
WINDSOR	Woodstock	1,906	101	5.3%	1602	84.1%	1,705	89.5%	201	10.5%	74	36.8%	38	18.9%	110	54.7%
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>304,875</b>	<b>39,364</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>220,283</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>276,493</b>	<b>90.7%</b>	<b>28,382</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>15,246</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>13,716</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>8,792</b>	<b>2.9%</b>

# Broadband Availability by E911 Building Location 4 Mbps Down / 1 Mbps Up or Better



- 276,493 out of 304,875 (90.7%) E911 building locations are served at 4/1 Mbps or better by independent telephone companies, cable, or fiber to the premises.
- 28,382 out of 304,875 (9.3%) E911 building locations are served with broadband less than 4/1 Mbps. Of these locations, some number are already served at 4/1 or better but this cannot be verified due to the inability of the companies to provide accurate data at this time. In addition, some of these addresses will receive upgrades of 4/1 Mbps or better through the CAF II program, and some number will be served at 4/1 Mbps or better by the ARRA project.



Sources: The broadband dataset was developed by the Vermont Department of Public Service. The raw data was supplied by the service providers based on data submitted during both grant data contest periods in 2016. The base data; administrative boundaries, roads supplied via VCGI.